1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 5 6 7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 2:13-CR-317-KJD-GWF 8 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 9 v. 10 RANDY JOHNSON, 11 Defendant. 12 13 Before the Court is the Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation (#56) regarding 14 Defendants' Motions to Suppress (#23). No objections have been filed, and the date for filing 15 any objection is now long past despite numerous extensions (##62, 75, 77, 79, 85). The Court's 16 obligation is "to arrive at its own independent conclusion about those portions of the magistrate's 17 report to which objections are made." <u>United States v. Remsing</u>, 874 F.2d 614, 618 (9th Cir. 18 1989). Specifically, the Court is to engage in "de novo" review of the findings and 19 recommendations objected to. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) (2012). The Court has completed its de 20 novo review and HEREBY ADOPTS and AFFIRMS the Magistrate's Findings and 21 Recommendation (#56), **DENYING** Defendant's Motion to Suppress (#23). 22 23 DATED this 2nd day of March 2014. 24 25 Kent J. Dawson United States District Judge 26